



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,810	10/18/2005	Angela Renee Burnett	PU030125	7795
24498	7590	08/20/2009		
Thomson Licensing LLC P.O. Box 5312 Two Independence Way PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			EXAMINER TRAN, MY CHAU T	
			ART UNIT 2629	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/20/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ADVISORY ACTION (CONT.)

Application and Claims Status

1. Applicant's amendment and response filed 08/06/2009 are acknowledged and entered.
2. Claims 1-9 were pending. No claims were amended, added, and/or cancelled. Therefore, claims 1-9 are currently pending.

Response to Arguments

3. The following rejection(s) and/or objection(s) are maintained and the arguments are addressed below.
4. Applicant's arguments directed to the 102(b) rejection were considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons.

[1] Applicant contends that "*Miyashita does not disclose or suggest a cool-down period of time and does not disclose or suggest receiving a power-on command during such a cool-down period, Miyashita necessarily cannot disclose or suggest signaling the receipt of such a power-on command*".

Thus, the reference of Miyashita does not anticipate the instant claimed inventions.

This is not found persuasive for the following reasons:

[1] The examiner respectfully disagrees. It is the examiner's position that the reference of Miyashita does anticipate the instant claimed inventions. First, the reference of Miyashita does "*disclose or suggest a cool-down period of time*". Both the term of "*a predetermined cool-down period of time*" of claims 1 and 6, and the term of "*cool-down period of time*" of claim 9 would encompass the interpretation of a delay time of Miyashita. Here, the time delay time of

Miyashita as disclosed by Miyashita is when the lamp is off (see col. 9, lines 27-35; fig. 14) such that the delay time of Miyashita would read on the limitation of *'maintaining the lamp in an off-condition during a predetermined cool-down period of time following receipt of the power-off command'* of claims 1 and 6, and the limitation of *'maintains a lamp in an off condition during a cool down period of time'* of claim 9. Moreover, the original specification is silent regarding these terms such that there is no specific definition for these terms that would exclude the interpretation wherein the delay time of Miyashita would read on these terms. Consequently, the reference of Miyashita does *"disclose or suggest a cool-down period of time"*. Second, Miyashita does *"disclose or suggest receiving a power-on command during such a cool-down period"*. Here, Miyashita disclose that after the time delay step (ref. #194) there is step in which the lamp is turn-on (ref. #194) (see col. 9, lines 31-35; fig. 14). This disclosure suggests that there is a power-on command during the time delay step and as a result, Miyashita does *"disclose or suggest receiving a power-on command during such a cool-down period"*. Third, in regards to instant claim 2, the reference of Miyashita does *"disclose or suggest signaling the receipt of such a power-on command"*. Here, Miyashita disclose that restarting is indicated by turning off the LED LD_{3g} and flashing the LED LD_{3r} (ref. #192) and a successful restart is indicated by turning off the LED LD_{3r} and flashing the LED LD_{3g} (ref. #201) (see col. 9, lines 27-47; fig. 14). This disclosure suggests Miyashita does disclose the limitation of claim 2, i.e. *'means for signaling receipt of a power-on command during the cool-down period of time'*. Hence, the reference of Miyashita does *"disclose or suggest signaling the receipt of such a power-on command"*. Moreover, the means as define in the original specification is a flashing LED (see section [00018]; fig. 3).

Therefore, the teachings of Miyashita do anticipate the inventions of the instant claims, and the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MY-CHAU T. TRAN whose telephone number is (571)272-0810. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday: 8:00-2:30; Tuesday-Thursday: 7:30-5:00; Friday: 8:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MY-CHAU T. TRAN/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629

August 20, 2009